

Record of proceedings dated 23.05.2022

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 4 of 2022 & I. A. No. 19 of 2022 & I. A. No. 20 of 2022	M/s. ReNew Mega Solar Power Pvt. Ltd & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 41.79 crores pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 41.79 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 5 of 2022 & I. A. No.21 of 2022 & I. A. No. 22 of 2022	M/s. ReNew Akshay Urja Pvt. Ltd & another	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 23.92 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. seeing direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 23.92 crores pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity

of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 6 of 2022 & I. A. No. 23 of 2022 & I. A. No. 24 of 2022	M/s. ReNew Saur Shakti Pvt. Ltd & another	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 57.80 crore pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 25.04 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with

the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 7 of 2022 & I. A. No. 25 of 2022 & I. A. No. 26 of 2022	M/s. ReNew Solar Energy (Telangana) Pvt. Ltd & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 126.66 crore pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 i.e., INR 126.66 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should

appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 8 of 2022 & I. A. No. 27 of 2022 & I. A. No. 28 of 2022	M/s. Prathamesh Solarfarms Pvt. Ltd & another	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 50.07 crore pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 50.07 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter

pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 9 of 2022 & I. A. No. 15 of 2022 & I. A. No. 16 of 2022	M/s. ReNew Akshay Urja Pvt. Ltd & another	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 102.92 crore pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 102.92 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing

of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 10 of 2022 & I. A. No.17 of 2022 & I. A. No. 18 of 2022	M/s. ReNew Saur Shakti Pvt. Ltd & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to pay 90% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 25.04 crore pending final adjudication of the petition.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent to deposit 10% of the dues outstanding as on 30.08.2021 that is INR 25.04 crore with the Commission pending final adjudication of the petition.

Sri Siripuram Keshava, Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission

earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 11 of 2022 & I. A. No. 3 of 2022	M/s. Winsol Solar Fields (Polepally) Pvt. Ltd	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due with interest for late payment along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 75% of the overdue amounts along with interest for late payment to the petitioner within one week.

Sri Aditya K Singh, Advocate representing M/s. Link Legal Advocates for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter

affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 12 of 2022 & I. A. No. 4 of 2022	M/s. Mahabubnagar Solar Parks Pvt. Ltd	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due with interest for late payment along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 75% of the overdue amounts along with interest for late payment to the petitioner within one week.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate representing M/s. Link Legal Advocates for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending

before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 13 of 2022 & I. A. No. 5 of 2022	M/s. Winsol Solar Fields (Polepally) Pvt. Ltd	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due with interest for late payment along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 75% of the overdue amounts along with interest for late payment to the petitioner within one week.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate representing M/s. Link Legal Advocates for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear

before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 14 of 2022 & I. A. No. 6 of 2022	M/s. Polepally Solar Parks Pvt. Ltd	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due with interest for late payment along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 75% of the overdue amounts along with interest for late payment to the petitioner within one week.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate representing M/s. Link Legal Advocates for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the

action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 15 of 2022 & I. A. No. 7 of 2022	M/s. Winsol Solar Fields (Polepally) Pvt. Ltd	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due with interest for late payment along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 75% of the overdue amounts along with interest for late payment to the petitioner within one week.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate representing M/s. Link Legal Advocates for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity

of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 16 of 2022 & I. A. No. 8 of 2022 & I. A. No. 31 of 2022 & I. A. No. 32 of 2022	M/s. ACME Solar Holdings Pvt. Ltd & M/s. ACME PV / Powertech Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioners by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent not to take any precipitative / coercive / adverse action against the petitioners / applicants during the pendency of the present petition.

I. A. filed seeking directions to forthwith make the payment of INR 46.96 crores being the outstanding amount for the period of 10 months out of the total amount of INR 68.37 crores (being the total amount outstanding for a period of 14 months)

I. A. filed seeking amendment to the prayer in the petition

Sri Nitish Gupta, Advocate representing M/s. HSA Legal counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the

amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 17 of 2022 & I. A. No.9 of 2022 & I. A. No. 33 of 2022 & I. A. No. 34 of 2022	M/s. ACME Solar Holdings Pvt. Ltd & M/s. Dayakara Solar Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioners by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent not to take any precipitative / coercive / adverse action against the petitioners / applicants during the pendency of the present petition.

I. A. filed seeking directions to forthwith make the payment of INR 33.84 crores being the outstanding amount for the period of 10 months out of the total amount of INR 46.40 crores (being the total amount outstanding for a period of 14 months)

I. A. filed seeking amendment to the prayer in the petition

Sri Nitish Gupta, Advocate representing M/s. HSA Legal counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The

counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 18 of 2022 & I. A. No.10 of 2022 & I. A. No. 29 of 2022 & I. A. No. 30 of 2022	M/s. ACME Solar Holdings Pvt. Ltd & M/s. Grahati Solar Energy Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioners by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent not to take any precipitative / coercive / adverse action against the petitioners / applicants during the pendency of the present petition.

I. A. filed seeking directions to forthwith make the payment of INR 56.81 crores being the outstanding amount for the period of 10 months out of the total amount of INR 80.47 crores (being the total amount outstanding for a period of 14 months)

Seeking amendment to the prayer in the petition

Sri Nitish Gupta, Advocate representing M/s. HSA Legal counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Record of proceedings dated 23.05.2022

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 19 of 2022 & I. A. No.11 of 2022 & I. A. No. 35 of 2022 & I. A. No. 36 of 2022	M/s. ACME Solar Holdings Pvt. Ltd & M/s. ACME Solar Power Technology Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioners by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondent not to take any precipitative / coercive / adverse action against the petitioners / applicants during the pendency of the present petition.

I. A. filed seeking directions to forthwith make the payment of INR 47.20 crores being the outstanding amount for the period of 10 months out of the total amount of INR 64.40 crores (being the total amount outstanding for a period of 14 months)

I. A. filed seeking amendment to the prayer in the petition

Sri Nitish Gupta, Advocate representing M/s. HSA Legal counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 29 of 2022	M/s. Sunworld Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of the amount due to the petitioners and to open irrevocable letter of credit.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 30 of 2022	M/s. Purvanchal Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of the amount due to the petitioners and to open irrevocable letter of credit.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing

counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 31 of 2022	M/s. ACME Ranga Reddy Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of the amount due to the petitioners and to open irrevocable letter of credit.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as

prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 32 of 2022	M/s. ACME Nizamabad Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of the amount due to the petitioners and to open irrevocable letter of credit.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should

appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 33 of 2022	M/s. ACME Medak Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSSPDCL

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of the amount due to the petitioners and to open irrevocable letter of credit.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity

of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 34 of 2022	M/s. Rewanchal Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of the amount due to the petitioners and to open irrevocable letter of credit.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present.

The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity

of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 35 of 2022	M/s. Neemuch Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking release of payment due along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 36 of 2022	M/s. ACME Warangal Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking release of payment due along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 37 of 2022	M/s. ACME Narwana Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking release of payment due along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 38 of 2022	M/s. ACME Fazilka Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking release of payment due along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 39 of 2022	M/s. Sprng Solren Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of amount due from December, 2020 to December, 2021, late payment charges for the period from April, 2016 to November, 2021, interest on the total outstanding amount and opening an irrevocable letter of credit in favour of the petitioner.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Sri Saahil Kaul, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present.

The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 40 of 2022	M/s. ACME Karimnagar Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL

Petition filed Seeking release of payment due along with late payment surcharge and consequential relief of payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Ms. Mazag Andrabi, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission

earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 41 of 2022	M/s. Sprng Suryoday Energy Pvt. Ltd. & another	TSNPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of amount due from December, 2020 to December, 2021, late payment charges for the period from April, 2016 to November, 2021, interest on the total outstanding amount and opening an irrevocable letter of credit in favour of the petitioner.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Sri Saahil Kaul, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter

pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 42 of 2022	M/s. Sprng Transform Sun Energy Pvt. Ltd.	TSsPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed Seeking reimbursement of amount due from December, 2020 to December, 2021, late payment charges for the period from April, 2016 to November, 2021, interest on the total outstanding amount and opening an irrevocable letter of credit in favour of the petitioner.

Sri Siripuram Keshava. Advocate representing Sri Saahil Kaul, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition has been coming up for filing counter affidavit and arguments. The amount involved in the petition is undisputed claim, which is due from the respondent. Neither counter affidavit is filed till date nor efforts made to make payment despite the observations made by the Commission earlier. The counsel for petitioner insisted that interim orders may be passed as prayed for or the Commission may observe that some amount be paid pending filing of counter affidavit to safe guard the interest of the petitioner. In this regard, the counsel for petitioner brought to the notice of the Commission that in a similar matter pending before the APERC, the said Commission had directed payment of 75% of the amount due immediately or else the concerned CMD of the DISCOM should appear before it on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondent sought further time to file counter affidavit. The Commission, while finding fault with

the action of the respondent for not filing the counter affidavit despite giving sufficient time, has observed that the matter is reserved for orders while giving an opportunity of one week to pay atleast 20% of the undisputed amount or else the original petition itself will be disposed of by the Commission.

If the licensee pays the above said amount, the Commission will consider granting time to file counter affidavit, which information should reach the Commission within a week.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 43 of 2022	M/s. Pemmasani Solar Power Private Limited	TSSPDCL alongwith its officer & TPCC

Petition filed seeking payments of interest due along with late payment charges on such amount due in respect of 10 MW project near 132 / 33 KV Makthal substation in Mahabubnagar district.

Sri. Srinivasa Rao Pachwa, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the matter is coming up for the first time for filing counter affidavit. The representative of the respondents stated that he needs time for filing counter affidavit in the matter. It is stated that though the issue raised is similar to the earlier batch of cases, but it does not involve original payment, so it is distinctive of the other matters. Accordingly, the time is granted for filing counter affidavit and the matter is adjourned.

Call on 13.06.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 44 of 2022 & I. A. No. 37 of 2022	M/s. Neo Solren Private Limited	TSNPDCL & TSPCC

Petition filed seeking payments of outstanding dues in terms of the invoices raised by the petitioner along with the late payment surcharge and also to open letter of credit.

I. A. filed seeking interim direction to the respondents to pay 75% of the total outstanding dues i.e., Rs. 32,40,08,906.25.

Sri Nitish Gupta, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the matter is coming up for the first time for filing counter affidavit. The representative of the respondents stated that he needs time for filing counter affidavit in the matter. It is stated that the issue raised is similar to the earlier batch of cases, but is listed for the first time. Accordingly, the time is granted for filing counter affidavit and the matter is adjourned.

Call on 13.06.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 16 of 2017 & I. A. No. 25 of 2017	M/s. Sundew Properties Limited	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking transfer of distribution assets falling within the area of SEZ area.

I. A. filed seeking directions to respondent No. 1 to disconnect the consumers pertaining to SPL's licence area and handover the assets to the petitioner and also to the respondent No. 2 to grant transmission connectivity at 33 KV level on two Nos. of 33 KV SPL feeders.

Sri Kunal Kaul, advocate representing M/s. J. Sagar Associates, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present.

The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the Commission had earlier required the parties to hammer out the solution between them, but the same has not fructified and the proposal made by the petitioner is not acceptable to the existing licensee. He also stated that the licensee is not understanding the provisions of the Act, 2003 and the regulations thereof. Therefore, he sought another opportunity to discuss the matter along with legal provisions in the presence of himself and the representative of the respondents, who is present now in this matter,

so as to understand the legal implication and settle the matter. The representative of the respondents has no objection and accordingly the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.08.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 4 of 2021	M/s. Sundew Properties Limited	– None—

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it / to be charged to its consumers with TSSPDCL tariff as the ceiling tariff.

Sri Kunal Kaul, Advocate representing M/s. J. Sagar Associates, counsel for petitioner is present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is connected with O. P. No. 16 of 2017 and accordingly, the same may be adjourned. Therefore, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 11.08.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 25 of 2021	M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.	TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking adjudication on the secondary billing disputes for FY 2016-19 for 2 X 600 MW Jaipur project.

Sri G. V. Brahmananda Rao, Advocate representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, counsel for petitioner and Sri. D. N. Sarma, OSD (Legal & Commercial) for the respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that the counsel for petitioner is out of station, as such the matter may be adjourned by atleast four weeks. The representative for respondent has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 21.06.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 25 of 2022	M/s. The Hyderabad Institute of Oncology Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking payment of amount towards power supplied to the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 from February, 2018 to November, 2021.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. In view of the absence of the counsel for petitioner, an opportunity is given for his appearance and the matter is adjourned as the pleadings are complete.

Call on 13.06.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 28 of 2022	M/s. Sri Sai Ram Ice Factory	TSSPDCL& its officers

Petition filed seeking refund of the amounts paid towards electricity charges and punishing the respondents for non-compliance of the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman U/S. 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. Yogeshwar Raj Saxena, Advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the matter is with regard to the implementation of the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman. The respondents have approached the Hon'ble High Court and obtained stay of the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman, which now stands extended upto 06.06.2022. The matter before the Hon'ble High Court and the present petition are quite different. Therefore, the Commission may consider proceeding with the matter. The issue raised is with regard to billing the consumer under HT tariff under LT category. The representative of the respondents stated that it is the same issue on which the Vidyut Ombudsman had passed orders and the respondents have obtained stay of the said order.

The Commission is not inclined to proceed with the matter, in view of the fact that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 13.06.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman